## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JACK REESE, FRANCES ELAINE PIDDE, JAMES CICHANOFSKY, ROGER MILLER, and GEORGE NOWLIN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case 2:04-cv-70592-PJD-PJK

CNH GLOBAL N.V. and CNH AMERICA LLC,

Hon. Patrick J. Duggan, U.S.D.J.

Hon. Paul J. Komives, U.S. Mag. J.

Defendants.

## STIPULATED ORDER RELEASING CNH'S SUPERSEDEAS BONDS

The parties hereby stipulate that:

- 1. On March 3, 2011, the Court reinstated a previous ruling on Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees and awarded them \$1,426,948.75 plus \$55,430.09 in costs. (R. 304, Op. & Order 11; R. 242, Op. & Order Granting Pls.' Mot. Att'ys' Fees 10.)
- 2. On March 16, 2011, CNH Global N.V. and CNH America LLC—referred to collectively as "CNH"—appealed the Court's March 3 order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. (R. 309, Notice Appeal 1.)
- 3. On April 21, 2011, in consideration of a supersedeas bond filed by CNH, the Court stayed execution of the March 3 order through CNH's appeal of it. (R. 315, Order Staying Execution Order Awarding Pls.' Att'ys' Fees Pending Appeal 2.)
- 4. On June 30, 2011, the Court granted in part a second motion by Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees and awarded them an additional \$277,698.75 and \$10,351.31 in costs. (R. 322, Op. & Order Granting Part & Denying Part Pls.' 2d Mot. Att'ys' Fees 12.)

- 5. On July 5, 2011, CNH appealed the Court's June 30 order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. (R. 323, Notice Appeal 1.)
- 6. On July 25, 2011, in consideration of a second supersedeas bond filed by CNH, the Court stayed execution of the June 30 order through CNH's appeal of it. (R. 327, Stip. Order Staying Execution Order Granting Part Pls.' 2d Mot. Att'y Fees ¶ 3.)
- 7. Regarding attorneys' fees, the Sixth Circuit wrote: "In view of this disposition of the appeal, we think it premature to address the parties' attorney-fees arguments. For one, this case is not over. For another, the district court may wish to revisit its attorney-fee decision based on its resolution of the questions identified above." *Reese v. CNH Am. LLC*, 694 F.3d 681, 686 (6th Cir. 2012).
- 8. On November 14, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued its mandate to this Court. (R. 333, Mandate 2.)

9. There being no further reason for them, the parties stipulate and agree that the

Court shall release the supersedeas bonds posted by CNH on April 21, 2011, and July 25, 2011.

Dated: November 30, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

s/ with consent of Roger J. McClow McKnight, McClow, Canzano, Smith &

Radtke, P.C.

400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 117 Southfield, Michigan 48034

248.354.9650

rmcclow@michworklaw.com P27120

s/ Norman C. Ankers

Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP

2290 First National Building

660 Woodward Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226

313.465.7306

nankers@honigman.com

P30533

s/ with consent of Bobby R. Burchfield

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

The McDermott Building

500 North Capitol Street, Northwest Washington, District of Columbia 20001

202.756.8000

bburchfield@mwe.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for CNH Global N.V. and CNH

America LLC

It is so ordered.

s/Patrick J. Duggan

Patrick J. Duggan

United States District Judge

Dated: December 3, 2012

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on Monday, December 03, 2012, by electronic and or ordinary mail.

> s/Marilyn Orem Case Manager

11743746.1